# WHOIS RIGHT? AN ANALYSIS OF WHOIS AND RDAP CONSISTENCY

Simon Fernandez<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Hureau<sup>1</sup>, Andrzej Duda<sup>1</sup>, Maciej Korczyński<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, LIG, 38000 Grenoble, France

Public registration information on domain names, such as the accredited registrar, the domain name expiration date, or the abuse contact is crucial for many security tasks, from automated abuse notifications to botnet or phishing detection and classification systems. Various domain registration data is usually accessible through the WHOIS or RDAP protocols—a priori they provide the same data but use distinct formats and communication protocols. We examine the core assumption that WHOIS and RDAP offer the same data and that users can query them interchangeably.



Registry Expiry Date: 2025-02-13T19:04:29Z Registrar: OVH sas Registrar IANA ID: 433 Registrar Abuse Contact Email: abuse@ovh.net Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +33.972101007 Domain Status: clientDeleteProhibited https://icann.org/epp#clientDeleteProhibited Domain Status: clientTransferProhibited https://icann.org/epp#clientTransferProhibited Name Server: DNS108.0VH.NET Name Server: NS108.0VH.NET DNSSEC: signedDelegation DNSSEC DS Data: 48475 8 2 7E77E427C18E5F39A198C39D169186B760B2C3C14F46FAADBB086B16F280F61F URL of the ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https://www.icann.org/wicf/ >>> Last update of whois database: 2024-04-18T14:54:03Z <<<

text","OVH sas"]]],"entities":[{"objectClassName":"entity","roles":["abuse"],"vcardArray":["vcard",[ ["version",{},"text","4.0"],["fn",{},"text"<sup>1</sup>,""],["tel",{"type":"voice"},"uri","tel:+33.972101007"],[ "email",{},"text","abuse@ovh.net"]]]}]}],"events":[{"eventAction":"registration","eventDate":"2005-0 2-13T19:04:29Z"},{"eventAction":"expiration","eventDate":"2025-02-13T19:04:29Z"},{"eventAction":"las t changed","eventDate":"2024-02-01T06:48:42Z"},{"eventAction":"last update of RDAP database","eventD ate":"2024-04-18T15:18:42Z"}],"secureDNS":{"delegationSigned":true,"dsData":[{"keyTag":48475,"algori thm":8,"digestType":2,"digest":"7E77E427C18E5F39A198C39D169186B760B2C3C14F46FAADBB086B16F280F61F"}]} ,"nameservers":[{"objectClassName":"nameserver","ldhName":"DNS108.0VH.NET"},{"objectClassName":"name server","ldhName":"NS108.0VH.NET"}],"rdapConformance":["rdap\_level\_0","icann\_rdap\_technical\_implemen tation\_guide\_0","icann\_rdap\_response\_profile\_0"],"notices":[{"title":"Terms of Use","description":[" Service subject to Terms of Use."],"links":[{"href":"https:\/\/www.verisign.com\/domain-names\/regis tration-data-access-protocol\/terms-service\/index.xhtml","type":"text\/html"}]},{"title":"Status Co des","description":["For more information on domain status codes, please visit https:\/\/icann.org\/ epp"],"links":[{"href":"https:\/\/icann.org\/epp","type":"text\/html"}]},{"title":"RDDS Inaccuracy C omplaint Form", "description": ["URL of the ICANN RDDS Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https:///icann.org/ /wicf"],"links":[{"href":"https:\/\/icann.org\/wicf","type":"text\/html"}]}]

#### Measurements





keyA: dataA1	"keyA": "dataA1",
keyB: dataB2	"keyB": "dataB1",
keyC: dataC	"keyC": "dataC",

of the other one.

#### Results

#### **Nameservers and Emails Mismatches**

Field	Missing rate (domain)	Domain inconsistency
Nameserver	6.6%	573,790 (1%)
IANA ID	13.7%	106,83 (0.2%)
Creation Date	2.2%	3,138,024 (5.7%)
Expiration Date	2.7%	2,424,951 (4.4%)
Emails	14.8%	18,958,821 (34.5%)

Domain mismatches	Nameservers	Emails*	
Inclusion	224,833	14.5 M	
Intersection	23,934	0.23 M	
Disjoint	343,994	2 M	
* After removing the local part of the address.			

**Intersection.** No inclusion but  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ : A and B do not match but they have at least one common server. **Disjoint.**  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ : A and B do not have common nameservers.

**Inclusion.**  $A \subset B$  or  $A \supset B$ : one set is a subset

# **Mismatching Dates**



### Conclusion

Our analysis of 164 million entries from 55 million domains highlighted that even if the majority of the information available through WHOIS and RDAP stays consistent across servers and protocols, 7.6% of all studied domains had mismatching entries.

The additional analysis highlighted that these mismatches vary a lot in form, severity, and the number of the concerned domains but also underlines that for the fields where we could determine which entry is right by collecting data from another source, RDAP entries are right in 78% of the cases when mismatching with a WHOIS entry.



This work has been partially supported by the French Ministry of Research projects PERSYVAL-Lab under contract ANR-11-LABX-0025-01 and DiNS under contract ANR-19-CE25-0009-01.

#### References

[1] Aruna Prem Bianzino et al. "Who is whois? An analysis of results consistence". In: 2014 22nd International Conference on Software, Telecommunications and Computer Networks (SoftCOM). IEEE, Sept. 2014.

[2] Carlos Ganan. "WHOIS Sunset? A Primer in Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Performance". In: TMA (2021), p. 8.

[3] Chaoyi Lu et al. "From WHOIS to WHOWAS: A Large-Scale Measurement Study of Domain Registration Privacy under the GDPR". In: Proceedings 2021 Network and Distributed System Security Symposium. NDSS 2021. Internet Society, 2021.



## Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble